

[218] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-52178-2023
Date of Decision : 11.01.2024

Chhajju Singh ...Petitioner
versus
State of PunjabRespondent

Coram : **HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**
Present : Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 13.10.2023, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present : Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Vikram Rathore, Advocate and
Mr. Chander Kant Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Notice of motion.

On advance service of copy of petition, learned State
counsel appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State
of Punjab and seeks time to file reply.

Adjourned to 06.12.2023.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation, as and
when required by the Investigating Agency and subject to his
reporting to the Investigating Officer within two weeks from today,
no coercive steps qua arrest of the petitioner shall be taken. In
case, his arrest is required to be caused, petitioner shall be re-
leased on bail by the Arresting Officer till the next date of hearing
on his furnishing personal bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting
Officer. Petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions as envi-
saged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. ”

[2] Today, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 13.10.2023 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 13.10.2023, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

11.01.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No