



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-49493-2024
Date of decision: 19.11.2024

KULVEER SINGHPETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB ...RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. S.S. Maini, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
54	28.08.2024	179, 180, 181 of BNS, 2023.	Sadar Morinda, District Rupnagar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 03.10.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 03.10.2024.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Harpal Singh, intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.
4. During the course of hearing on 03.10.2024, following order

was passed:



CRM-M-49493-2024

2

“ 2. It is, *inter alia*, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case on the alleged disclosure statement of co-accused Jaswinder Singh and Kulwant Singh. He further contends that the petitioner has no concern with these persons and has been falsely implicated in this case and even similarly placed co-accused Navjot Singh has been granted concession of interim protection in CRM-M-48735-2024.

3. Notice of motion.


4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG, Punjab, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent and prays for time to file the status report/reply in the matter.

5. Adjourned to 18.10.2024

6. Needful be done well before the date fixed with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.

7. In the meanwhile, arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed in the FIR captioned above. It is clarified that in case the investigating officer of the case wants the petitioner to join the investigation, he may do so in accordance with law.”

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 03.10.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 03.10.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioners is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the



CRM-M-49493-2024 3

evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.
7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

19.11.2024
kanika

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
- ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No