

281 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-47210-2019
Date of Decision : 29-04-2024

Neeraj MalikPetitioner
Versus
State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Vir Singh Behl, Advocate
For Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Chaudhary, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner has filed the petition invoking jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C by the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No.48 dated 09.07.2019 for offences punishable under Section 419, 420, 465, 204 and 120-B of IPC, 1860 and 66-C and 66-D of the IT Act, 2008 at Police Station Cyber Gurugram.
2. The stand of the prosecution reads as under:-

“15. That the complicity of the petitioner has not been found in the commission of the crime complained of in the present case despite detailed investigation having been conducted into the same. No further proceedings are intended qua him by the local police in connection present FIR. Thus, this being so, the petition filed by the petitioner has been rendered as infructuous and hence, is liable to be dismissed and it is prayed accordingly.
3. In view of the above, no further order is required to be passed.
4. Disposed off accordingly.

29-04-2024
spn

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No