



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

135

CWP-25592-2024
Date of decision: 03.10.2024

VIKAS SHARMA AND OTHERS ... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Rahul Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950 for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to pay compensation on account of death of Saneha daughter of petitioners No.1 and 2 and also on account of burn injuries suffered by petitioner No.3 due to electrocution that occurred on 30.08.2018 due to negligence on the part of the respondent-Distribution Licensee.

As per the contentions raised in the petition, the daughter of petitioners No.1 and 2-Saneha (minor) and petitioner No.3-Kartik (minor son of petitioners No.1 and 2) got electrocuted on 30.08.2018 with the live wire lying openly on the roof of their house when they were playing on the roof of the house. The deceased Saneha died in that electrocution and petitioner No.3-Kartik have suffered multiple burn injuries on both legs, both arms as

**CWP-25592-2024****-2-**

well as on his face due to electrocution from the live wires on the roof of house situated at Green Vihar Colony, Jagadhri due to the negligence of the respondents.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana appears notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate accept notice on behalf of the respondents No. 2 to 9 and also prays for some time to complete instructions and file response.

This Court, however, has already decided a number of matters where the counsel representing the Distribution Licensee had apprised about the policies notified by the UHBVNL for compensation to the victims of electrocution. The said policies also contemplates disbursement of compensation on account of no fault. Hence, it would be appropriate at this juncture to dispose of the present petition sans waiting for responses from the respondents.

The present petition is accordingly disposed of without commenting on the merits of the present case and with a direction to the competent authority to decide the representation dated 24.01.2023 (Annexure P-9) as per the policies existing at that point of time and also to treat the present writ petition as a representation and the same shall be decided expeditiously by the competent authority preferably within a period of 04



CWP-25592-2024

-3-

months from the date of receiving of order, after granting an effective opportunity of hearing to the respective parties.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

OCTOBER 03, 2024
Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No