



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.49701 of 2024(O&M)  
Date of Decision: 04.10.2024

Deepak Kumar ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Pankaj Kaushik, Advocate  
for the petitioner.  
  
Ms. Harpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

| FIR No. | Dated      | Police Station            | Sections                                                |
|---------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| 292     | 17.08.2023 | Sadar Pehowa, Kurukshetra | 406, 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 180, 120-B IPC, 1860 |

Seeking quashing of impugned order dated 14.05.2024 passed by Additional Sessions Court, Kurukshetra in the FIR captioned above whereby the bail of the petitioner under section 437(6) was dismissed, the petitioner has come up before this Court under Section 528 BNSS.

2. State counsel opposes the present petition on the ground of maintainability while submitting that once a statutory remedy is available under section 483 BNSS, the extra ordinary jurisdiction under section 528 BNSS cannot be invoked.
3. Faced with this, counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty reserved to the petitioner to file a petition seeking regular bail under section 483 BNSS straightaway in this Court, if so advised.
4. Given above, the present petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid. All pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)  
JUDGE

04.10.2024

Sonia Puri  
Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether reportable: Yes/No.