



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

138

CWP-28232-2024
Date of decision: 21.10.2024

SUNJAN SANGHI AND ANOTHERPetitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed for directing the respondents to clear the pending arrears of pension which are admittedly pending w.e.f. 11.11.2005 to 19.07.2009 and have not been paid to the petitioners' father and a further prayer to direct the respondents to pay the pending arrears of pension w.e.f. 11.11.2005 to 19.07.2009 alongwith interest @ 12% per annum.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners contends that the mother of the petitioners late Smt. Savita Sanghi had joined on 19.09.1967 as JBT in the Education Department of Haryana and was further promoted to the post of Head Teacher but unfortunately

**CWP-28232-2024****-2-**

and at that time her service tenure was 37 years 09 months and 13 days. After her death, she left behind her husband and three daughters. The father of the petitioners Sh. Suresh Chand Sanghi processed the document of her pension within stipulated time, however, despite all this, the respondent-department time and again held the pension of late Smt. Savita Sanghi. The pension was disbursed/started to Sh. Suresh Chand Sanghi only w.e.f. 19.07.2009. It is relevant to mention here that even the Pension Payment Order specifically states that the payment of family pension should commence from 10.11.2005 but the respondent-Department held the pension of the petitioners' father w.e.f. 11.11.2005 to 19.07.2009. The father of petitioners approached the respondent-authorities for release of the family pension for the said period but no action has been taken by them.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Addl. A.G. Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that at this juncture, he would be satisfied if respondent No.3 is directed to consider and decide the case of the petitioners in a time bound manner.

The present petition is thus disposed of with the consent of the respective parties and without prejudice to their respective rights and without commenting on the merits of their respective claims, granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent authority



CWP-28232-2024

-3-

respondent-Authority is directed to treat this writ petition as a representation and the same shall be decided expeditiously within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

OCTOBER 21, 2024

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No