

127 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-6944-2019(O&M)  
Date of decision :16.04.2024

Samai Singh and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Pannu, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

1. In this revision petition, the plaintiff makes a limited submission. He submits that while permitting the plaintiff to withdraw the suit with permission to file fresh one on same cause of action, the Court has awarded compensatory cost of Rs. 50,000/- payable to the District Legal Service Authority. He submits that such order is not appropriate.

2. Learned State counsel submits that no interference in the impugned order is called for.

3. This Court has considered the submissions made by both the parties.

4. The plaintiff filed a suit on 20.02.2018, which was withdrawn on 15.09.2018. The trial Court found merits in the plaintiff's application for permission to withdraw the previous suit with permission to file fresh one.

5. In these circumstances, the compensatory cost of Rs.50,000/-

is excessive, which is reduced to Rs.5,000/-

6. With these observations, the revision petition is disposed of.

16.04.2024

neeraj

Whether speaking/reasoned :  
Whether Reportable :

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)  
JUDGE

|     |    |
|-----|----|
| Yes | No |
| Yes | No |