

**Sr. No.283(01)**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-51928-2023  
Date of decision: 01<sup>st</sup> April, 2024**

**JASWINDER KAUR AND OTHERS** **.....Petitioners**

**versus**

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER** **.....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN**

Present: Dr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate for  
Ms. Chhavi Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Mann, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

**HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of DDR No.27 dated 13.12.2022, under Sections 323, 34 IPC, 1860 (Section 326 IPC, 1860, added later on), registered at Police Station Shahkot, District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P-2), recorded in FIR No.249 dated 10.11.2022, under Sections 323, 354, 458, 427, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Shahkot, District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise deed dated 11.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) between the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners inter alia contends that there was a family dispute and DDR No.027 dated 13.12.2022 was recorded at the instance of respondent No.2 Shingara Singh, who is the nephew of petitioner Jaswinder Kaur and is also related to petitioners No.2 and 3. Parties have effected a compromise with the intervention of respectables in order to live in peace. Compromise deed

(Annexure P-3) dated 11.09.2023 has been duly signed by the parties and even the Sarpanch of the Gram Panchayat, Bajwa Kalan (Jal.) has witnessed the said compromise.

[3] Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant has confirmed the factum of compromise between the parties.

[4] On 19.01.2024, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements regarding the compromise.

[5] As per the report dated 27.03.2024, received from the Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Nakodar, through the District & Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence. The petitioner has not been declared as “Proclaimed Offender” and he is not involved in any other criminal case.

[6] Learned State counsel has not raised any objection regarding the acceptance of the present petition. Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of affidavit of Sh. Amandeep Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Shahkot, Jalandhar, on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab, which is taken on record.

[7] Both the parties are relatives and the dispute between them has now been amicably settled. The injury attributed to Shingara Singh, constituting an offence under Section 326 IPC, is on the little finger and not on the vital part of the body. Injuries No.2 and 3 are reddish contusions, as such, keeping in view the nature of the injuries and the relationship *inter se* the parties and the fact that the parties have amicably settled the dispute and given quietus to the matter, it would be in the interest of the parties if the present DDR is quashed. The compromise between the parties is reported to be genuine and voluntarily made. In view of the

(SC) 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and the decision of Hon'ble the Full Bench of this Court in Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the ends of justice would meet if the FIR and consequential proceedings are quashed by accepting the compromise between the parties.

[8] Consequently, the present petition is allowed and DDR No.27 dated 13.12.2022, under Sections 323, 34 IPC, 1860 (Section 326 IPC, 1860, added later on), registered at Police Station Shahkot, District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P-2), recorded in FIR No.249 dated 10.11.2022, under Sections 323, 354, 458, 427, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Shahkot, District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom are ordered to be quashed, qua the petitioner.

[9] However, the respondent No.2-complainant and the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of this order, in case the final terms and conditions of compromise dated 11.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) are violated.

[10] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)  
JUDGE

01<sup>st</sup> April, 2024  
sim

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |