



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110

CRWP-9502-2024

Date of decision: 01.10.2024

Rijwana and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. B.S. Tewatia, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8, who are averse to their live in relationship.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners inter alia contends that the petitioners have been in a live in relationship which has not been accepted by the private respondents, who are family members of petitioner No.1. It has been asserted that even though a representation in the said regard has been given to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, District Nuh (Mewat) on 26.09.2024, however, till date no action has been taken by the official respondents to come to their rescue to protect their life and liberty at the hands of the private respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent



CRWP-9502-2024

of Police, District Nuh (Mewat) to look into their representation dated 26.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In view of the above, without commenting upon the status of live in relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, District Nuh (Mewat) to look into the representation dated 26.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

01.10.2024

Vinay

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No