



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(153)

CWP No. 25917 of 2024
Date of Decision : 14.10.2024

Gurmeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that against the proposed answer key, the petitioner had raised grievance but without deciding the same, the answer key has been finalized and the final result has been declared, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the result which has been declared by the respondents is without deciding the objection raised by the petitioner to answer key.
3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.
5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioner has not raised any grievance before the appropriate authorities before filing



the writ petition and in case, any representation is received from the petitioner, the same will be replied to by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation and in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order, which will be conveyed to the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file appropriate representation with the respondents.

7. Ordered accordingly.

October 14, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No