



IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

112

CRWP-9575-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.11.2024

Swaranjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. P. K. S. Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A. S. Samra, AAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner as well as her family members at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8 and to decide the representation dated 05.08.2024, submitted by her. She has also prayed for getting the investigation of FIR No. 45 dated 02.05.2024, under Sections 324, 323, 506, 34 of IPC at Police Station City Rampura, conducted by a senior police officer.
2. Upon notice, reply by way of the affidavit of DSP, Sub Division Phul has been filed today in Court. It is submitted therein and learned State counsel has argued that the aforesaid FIR has been registered at the instance of respondent No. 4 against the petitioner, Sukhdev Singh and Lovepreet Singh. The petitioner and Lovepreet Singh are on bail but co-accused Sukhdev

2024:PHHC:144962



Singh is absconding. It is further submitted that on receipt of the aforesaid representation from the petitioner, wherein the petitioner has made similar prayer as in this petition, a detailed inquiry was conducted by the DSP, Phul and the SHO concerned was directed to take preventive measures, consequent to which, a DDR bearing No. 19 dated 03.11.2014 was registered under Sections 126 and 169 of BNSS, 2023. It is further submitted that the matter is still under investigation and no illegality or impropriety has been committed by the police in the matter. In view thereof, learned State counsel has prayed for dismissal of the present petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner could not dispute the aforesaid facts.
4. In view of the position explained above and keeping in view the limited scope of the present petition and also in view of the fact that necessary preventive measures have already been taken by the police with regard to protection of life and liberty of the petitioner and her family member, but without making any comment on the merit of the case, the present petition is disposed of as no further orders are called for in the matter.
5. However, the petitioner is granted liberty to approach the local police in case she feels any threat to her life and liberty in future.

07.11.2024

Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No