

CRM-M-49373-2024
Date of decision: 04.11.2024

...PETITIONER

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

Present: Mr. Sanpreet Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Digvijay, Advocate for
Mr. Mandeep Nehra, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.62 dated 22.01.2021 under Sections 313, 323, 34, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station City Yamunanagar, District Yamunanagar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 20.03.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 03.10.2024 :-

“This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.62 dated 22.01.2021 under Sections 313, 323, 34, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station City Yamunanagar, District Yamunanagar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 20.03.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 04.11.2024.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Mandeep Nehra, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. Copy of the



paper book be supplied to them during the course of day. Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.
4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834* and *Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrL) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.62 dated 22.01.2021 under Sections 313, 323, 34, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station City Yamunanagar, District Yamunanagar (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

November 04, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |