

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CWP-26125-2024

Date of decision:14.10.2024

GURVINDER SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present : Mr. S.S. Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Kamal Preet Chauhan, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

ALOK JAIN. J.(Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, for quashing of the order dated 10.05.2024 (Annexure P-4) and for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to re-issue the passport of the petitioner.
2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that the present petition be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to approach the learned trial Court concerned, enabling him to seek permission for re-issuance of his passport, as the petitioner is facing trial in case FIR No.229 dated 19.09.2022, under Sections 498-A/406 of IPC, in which he has already been granted the concession of bail.
3. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of with the liberty aforesaid.

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that the present petition be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to approach the learned trial Court concerned, enabling him to seek permission for re-issuance of his passport, as the petitioner is facing trial in case FIR No.229 dated 19.09.2022, under Sections 498-A/406 of IPC, in which he has already been granted the concession of bail.

3. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of with the liberty aforesaid.

4. Needless to mention that the learned trial Court concerned shall clearly specify the time-frame while granting or denying the permission for re-issuance of his passport.

14.10.2024

amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned.

Whether Reportable.

:

:

Yes/No

Yes/No

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE