



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(129)

CWP No. 25245 of 2024
Date of Decision : 27.09.2024

Puneet Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Amrik Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner has competed for the post of ETT in the Category of Sports Person and though the results of the other Categories have been declared but in the Sports Category, the result has not been declared.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance of the petitioner is that the choice of the station has been asked with regard to the Categories vide Public Notice dated 24.09.2024 (Annexure P-9), whose results have been declared and as the result of the Sports Category has not been declared till now, petitioner cannot give his choice of Station and in case, the petitioner does not give the choice of Station, the same will/could be occupied by the others though they might be lower in merit than the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner be also allowed to exercise the option of the Station though



provisionally subject to the result to be declared in respect of Sports Category and the claim of the petitioner be considered qua those Stations in accordance with the criteria framed coupled with merit.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that let the petitioner exercise his choice of Station within the time frame as per Public Notice dated 24.09.2024 (Annexure P-9), which option will be kept in mind while allotting the said Station to the other candidates and in case, the petitioner is higher in merit, the Station will be allotted to the petitioner in case ultimately, he is selected coupled with his over all merit.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed at this stage.

6. Ordered accordingly.

September 27, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No