



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9484-2024

Date of Decision:- 30.09.2024

Gurwinder Singh and Another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Sukhwinder Singh Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners Gurwinder Singh and Navjot Begam have filed present petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 6, who are family members/relatives of petitioner No. 2.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No. 1, Annexure P-1, his date of birth is 20.11.2002. On the other hand, as per Aadhaar Card of petitioner No.2, Annexure P-2, her date of birth is 27.08.2004. They had performed marriage on 26.09.2024. Copy of Certificate of Marriage issued by Gurudwara Shaheed Bhai Bachitar Singh Ji, Sri Muktsar Sahib is Annexure P-3. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib, which is Annexure P-4. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of family members/relatives of petitioner No. 2 and as such they are receiving threats from respondents No. 4 to 6.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents be supplied to learned State counsel.
5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.
6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of family members/relatives of petitioner No. 2, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No.2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 27.09.2024 (Annexure P-4) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6, considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.
7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.
8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.
9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

30.09.2024

lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No