



CWP-25619-2024

-1-

108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-25619-2024
Date of Decision: 19.11.2024

RITESH NALWA

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Addl. A.G., Haryana

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to re-conduct physical verification of the petitioner's experience certificate at the new address of the firm, and give him appointment on the post in question along with all consequential benefits from the date his juniors have been appointed.



CWP-25619-2024

-2-

2. On 3.10.2024, the following interim order was passed:

It is *inter alia* contended that the petitioner was recommended for appointment to the post of Draughtsman Civil Instructor (Theory), Category No. 95. The recommendation was made on the basis of experience certificate dated 02.09.2019, Annexure P-3, issued by the contractor-firm, with official address-243, New Housing Board Colony, Bhiwani. Later it shifted to a new address-H. No. 2406, GF- 9-11, Hisar, which was duly informed to the respondents vide letters dated 09.06.2024 and 12.06.2024, Annexure P-8 and P-9 respectively, still the Departmental verification committee visited the old address, and rejected the petitioner's candidature on the ground that the firm was non-existent.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions contends that the petitioner's experience certificate could not be verified at the firm's changed address due to oversight. The respondents will now take necessary action in the matter after verification at the new address, and pass a fresh order. He also contends that prior to passing the order, the petitioner will be afforded an opportunity of hearing by the second respondent, who will pass a reasoned order keeping in view report of the Physical Verification Committee after considering the submissions and taking into account the documents, if any, submitted by the petitioner, within four weeks.

4. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the



CWP-25619-2024

-3-

petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

- 5. Ordered accordingly.
- 6. However, it is made clear that the order dated 11.09.2024, Annexure P-10, shall not be given effect to till passing of the fresh order.

19.11.2024
Seema

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No