



123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**CRM-39940-2024 in/and
CRM-M-49648-2024
Date of decision: 03.10.2024

Joginder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Ms. Preety Arya, Advocate for
Mr. Geeteshwar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**CRM-39940-2024**

Allowed as prayed for.

CRM-M-49648-2024

The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for issuance of appropriate direction to official respondents No.1 to 3 to consider the case of the petitioner in pursuance to representation dated 31.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) and take appropriate legal action against private respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the marriage of the daughter of the petitioner, namely, Pooja, was solemnized with respondent No.4 on 15.01.2017 as per Sikh rituals and rites at Shah Palace Amritsar and the petitioner has given a gold bracelet, a gold ring and valuable clothes to respondent No.4, a gold ring along with clothes to respondent No.7 and dowry along with household items. After some time of marriage, the behaviour of private respondents totally changed towards the daughter of the petitioner and in connivance with each other they started taunting her for bringing less dowry and demanded more dowry from her. When the daughter of the petitioner disclosed the same to the petitioner, the petitioner has given



**CRM-39940-2024 in/and
CRM-M-49648-2024**

-2-

valuable watch and Rs.75,000/- to respondent No.4 at the time of occasion of Rakhi festival but private respondents were not satisfied and during this period, daughter of the petitioner got pregnant and respondent No.4 thrown her out of the house. Again to rehabilitate her, the petitioner has given Rs.50,000/- to the private respondents and on the occasions of *karwa chauth*, Diwali and Lohri etc. given dowry articles to private respondents and settled the matter but they did not mend their way and resorted to physical violence. He further submits that in this regard, the petitioner has made a representation dated 31.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) for seeking protection of her life and liberty along with her daughter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 31.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) submitted by the petitioner in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab, puts in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents-State and copy of the petition has been supplied in the Court.

Keeping in view the prayer made by the petitioner and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 31.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) submitted by the petitioner and take appropriate steps including grant of any protection to the petitioner in view of the apprehension, if any, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

03.10.2024

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No