



127

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9481-2024

Date of Decision:- 30.09.2024

Ishika and Another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Rampal Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners Ishika and Balwinder Singh have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of private respondent No. 4, who is uncle of petitioner No.1.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. As per Aadhaar Card and PAN Card of petitioner No. 1, Annexure P-1, her date of birth is 01.01.2005. On the other hand, as per Aadhaar Card and PAN Card of petitioner No.2, Annexure P-2, his date of birth is 03.04.2003. They had performed marriage on 26.09.2024. Copy of Certificate of Marriage issued by Maa Naina Devi Jan Kalyan Sanstha (Regd.), Panchkula, Haryana is Annexure P-3 and photographs regarding performing of their marriage are Annexure P-4. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, District Fazilka, Punjab which is Annexure P-5.

Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of uncle of

petitioner No. 1 and as such they are receiving threats from respondent No.4.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondent.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of uncle of petitioner No. 1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondent No. 4. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 26.09.2024 (Annexure P-5) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondent No. 4, considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

30.09.2024
lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No