



214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26761-2022

Date of decision: 30.08.2024

KRISHAN SINGH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LTD. AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate for
Mr. Piyush Bansal, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 5.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner today. Same was the position on the last date of hearing as well.
2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 15.12.2023, learned counsel for the petitioner was directed to serve respondent No.6 by way of dasti process on filing of process fee and he was not even present on the aforesaid date and rather Registry was directed to inform learned counsel for the petitioner about the next date of hearing and also about the aforesaid order.
3. As per the report of the Registry, an e-mail was sent to the learned counsel for the petitioner and it is also reported that dasti notice issued to respondent No.6 could not be issued as learned counsel for the petitioner did not turn up to collect the same.



4. Today again the learned counsel for the petitioner has not appeared. It appears that the petitioner is no longer interested in pursuing the present writ petition.

5. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

30.08.2024
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No