

CWP-25787-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 03-10-2024

.....Petitioner(s)

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

Present: Mr. Charanpal Singh Bagri, Advocate and
Ms. Gurjit Kaur Bagri, Advocate
for the petitioners.
(joined through V.C)

Mr. T.P.S Chawla, Sr. DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

The grievance raised by the petitioners in the present petition is that the petitioners are entitled for the minimum of the pay scale along with Dearness Allowance keeping in view the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case titled as ***“State of Punjab Vs. Jagjit Singh”, (2017) 1 SCC, 148.***

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are not being paid the salary in terms of the judgment in ***Jagjit Singh's case (supra)*** hence, a direction be issued to the respondents to grant the petitioners the benefits as admissible to them in terms of the above-mentioned judgment.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the association has already filed the representation and the petitioners have already raised

the said grievance in the said representation dated 20.01.2023 (Annexure P-11) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S Chawla, Sr. DAG Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 20.01.2023 (Annexure P-11) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

03-10-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking : YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO