



137

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-25630-2024 (O&amp;M)

Date of Decision : 03-10-2024

RAJINDER KAUR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Suverna Mutneja, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P. S Chawla, Sr. DAG Punjab.

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)**

In the present petition, the claim of the petitioner is that the petitioner is working with the respondents since 30.05.1997 but her services have not been regularised though she has attained the age of 57 years as of now.

Learned counsel for the petitioner keeping in view the judgment of the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No.19238 of 2013 titled ***“Amrish Sharma and ors. Vs State of Punjab and ors.”***, decided on 26.02.2024, the petitioner is entitled for the benefit of regularisation of her services and also the grant of minimum of pay scale keeping in view the judgment of the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in case titled as ***“State of Punjab Vs. Jagjit Singh”, (2017) 1 SCC, 148***, hence, a direction be issued to the respondents to decide the claim of the petitioner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 20.09.2024

(Annexure P-6) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S Chawla, Sr. DAG Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 20.09.2024 (Annexure P-6) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

03-10-2024  
Sapna Goyal

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

NOTE: Whether speaking : YES/NO  
Whether reportable: YES/NO