



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-49146-2024
Date of Decision : 11.12.2024**

DAVINDER SINGH ALIAS DAVINDERPAL SINGH

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Harbir Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Avneet, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 01.10.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

- “1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.81 dated 31.07.2024, under Sections 109, 333, 118, 115, 351(2), 324, 191(3), 190 of the B.N.S., 2023, and, Section 25/27 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at P.S. Zira, District Ferozepur.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that, although the petitioner has been named in the FIR, however, no overt act or injury has been attributed to him. Moreover, the injury(ies) suffered by the complainant have been declared “simple in nature”.
3. At this stage, Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, Advocate, who records his appearance on behalf of the complainant, under a validly executed Vakalatnama instituted before this Court today, opposes the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
4. Notice of motion for 18.11.2024.
5. Mr. Raghav Garg, A.A.G, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.
6. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023. ”

2. Vide order dated 18.11.2024, the petitioner was again directed to join investigation, and to fully co-operate with the investigating officer concerned.

3. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to her by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 01.10.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

December 11, 2024

dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No