

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

314/1

CRM-M-49377-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 09.12.2024

HARINDER SINGH ALIAS BABBU AND ANOTHER

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Nandal Jindal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Shukla, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Tushar Sabharwal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR
(Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the
basis of compromise/settlement dated 06.09.2024 (Annexure P-5) executed
between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
199	24.12.2019	420, 467, 468, 471 IPC	Urban Estate Patiala, District Patiala

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the
society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated
06.09.2024 (Annexure P-5), which is duly signed by them. The matter was
referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to
report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the



parties. The learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Patiala vide report dated 04.12.2024 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited the cost of Rs.20,000/- in compliance to the order dated 14.11.2024.

3. Respondent No.2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Patiala and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.199 dated 24.12.2019 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 of IPC registered at Police Station Urban Estate Patiala, District Patiala (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

09.12.2024
S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No