

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

2024:PHHC:107476



CWP-26746-2022

Date of Decision:- 21.08.2024

240

Vinod Kumar Mehta

...Petitioner

Versus

Central Bank of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr.H.C.Arora, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Gaurav Goel, Advocate for the respondent-Bank.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India *inter alia* seeking quashing of the inquiry report, Annexure P-7 dated 27.10.2016 holding the petitioner guilty by virtue of charge sheet dated 16.02.2016 (Annexure P-3) and also quashing of the quantum of punishment order dated 14.02.2017 (Annexure P-12).

2. It is pleaded case of the petitioner that the petitioner has filed an appeal (Annexure P-13) which has not been decided till date.

3. However, learned counsel for the respondent at the outset, has submitted that the said appeal was decided way back in the year 2017 and photocopy of the record along with signatures of the petitioner clearly demonstrates that the same has been duly received by him on 19.07.2017.

4. In the light of the above, since there is no challenge to the order passed in the appeal, I do not find any merit in the present writ petition and accordingly, the same is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to take all

appropriate steps as available to him before appropriate authority in accordance with.

5. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed that a liberty be granted to file a review to the order passed by the appellate authority which he may do so, if permissible under law.

21.08.2024
sd

[ALOK JAIN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable: Yes/No.