

CRM-M-49075-2024 (O&M)

-1-

234 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-49075-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.11.2024

SUDHIR KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG Haryana.


Mr. Deep Singh Saini, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

Harpreet Singh Brar J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.145 dated 19.07.2023 under Sections 323/354/377/406/498-A/506 of IPC (during investigation, offence under Sections 354/377 of IPC were deleted and challan was filed only for the offence under Sections 323/406/506/498-A of IPC) registered at Police Station Farakpur, District Yamuna Nagar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 19.09.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 30.09.2024:

“This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.145 dated 19.07.2023 under Sections 323/354/377/406/498-A/506 of IPC (during investigation, offence under Sections 354/377 of IPC were deleted and challan was filed only for the offence under Sections 323/406/506/498-A of IPC) registered at Police Station



Farakpur, District Yamuna Nagar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 19.09.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 04.11.2024.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Deep Singh Saini, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his vakalatnama and admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.


Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3)**



RCR (CrI.) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.145 dated 19.07.2023 under Sections 323/354/377/406/498-A/506 of IPC (during investigation, offence under Sections 354/377 of IPC were deleted and challan was filed only for the offence under Sections 323/406/506/498-A of IPC) registered at Police Station Farakpur, District Yamuna Nagar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioner.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

04.11.2024
Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No