



111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

CRWP-9454-2024
Date of Decision:27.09.2024

SUNITA AND ANOTHER
....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS
....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Jaswinder Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioners are praying for direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to provide protection to their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents No.5 to 7, who are related to petitioner No.1.

2. In the petition, it has been stated that both the petitioners are into live-in-relationship which has been opposed by private respondents No.5 to 7.

3. Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 a female aged about 20 years is living with petitioner No.2 a boy aged more than 18 years with her own free will and consent. It is further submitted that both of them are unmarried and are into live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.5 to 7 who are extending threats to cause harm to the petitioners and in this regard petitioners have already given representation dated 25.09.2024 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2 i.e. Commissioner of Police,



Jalandhar but the police authorities failed to take any action in this regard till date.

4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Jasjeet Singh Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and submits that both the petitioners are major and are living together with their own free will. Learned State counsel further submits that in case any such representation filed by the petitioners is pending, the same will be considered and decided by the competent authority, in accordance with law, at the earliest.
6. In view of above, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 i.e. Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to consider and decide representation dated 25.09.2024 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and to take action if so warranted in accordance with law.
7. However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the relationship between the petitioners. It is also made clear that respondents No.5 to 7 are at liberty to avail appropriate remedy available to them under law against the petitioners. In case the petitioners have committed any offence, law will take its own course.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

27.09.2024
Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No