



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

154

INCOMP-1208-2024 (O&M)**Date of decision : 19.11.2024**

SITINDER KUMAR DAHIYA & ORS.

... PETITIONER(s)

VERSUS

DEBT RECOVERY TRINUBAL-II & ORS.

...RESPONDENT(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : None.

ALKA SARIN, J. (ORAL)

1. The above case was filed through Sh. Pavan Malik, Advocate on 24.10.2019 and the Registry had raised certain objections, which were not removed by the learned counsel.

2. As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, a case wherein objections have been raised by the Registry, the same is to be taken back by the counsel/party concerned, to be re-filed within a period of 40 days.

3. Since the case file was not taken back for doing the needful by the learned counsel concerned, despite a specific note having been given in the cause list requesting the counsel to collect the case file for removal of objections, the Registry has listed this incomplete matter before this Court.

4. In view of the fact that no steps were taken by the counsel concerned for re-filing of the case after removal of objections, no order is called for on the judicial side and the instant incomplete matter stands disposed off. Registry is directed to weed out the incomplete paper-book as per the Rules.

19.11.2024
Ankur**(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE**

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO