



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
282-2

CRM-M-50085-2024
Date of decision: 13.11.2024

TILAK RAJ ALIAS KALA
V/s
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER
....PETITIONER
....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Rajiv Kumar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yuvraj Singh Tiwana, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Parivartan Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 for quashing the impugned order dated 04.07.2024 (Annexure P-2), whereby petitioner has been declared proclaimed offender in FIR No.3 dated 11.01.2024 under Sections 363, 366, 506, 376, 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Qila Lal Singh, District Gurdaspur.

2. On 04.10.2024, the following order was passed:

“Inter alia contends that the impugned order dated 04.07.2024 has been passed in derogation of the mandatory provisions of law & the petitioner is always willing to join proceedings and co-operate therein. Notice of motion.
On asking of the Court, Mr. Adhiraj Singh Thind, AAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State.
Mr. Parivartan Singh, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2.
Learned State counsel seeks and is permitted time to file reply.
Put up on 25.10.2024.
Operation of the impugned order dated 04.07.2024 shall remain stayed till the next date of hearing.”



3. Upon hearing the parties and having perused the record, this Court finds it a fit case to quash the impugned order dated 04.07.2024 especially for the reason that the FIR in question (in respect of which the impugned proclaimed offender order was passed) has been quashed on the basis of compromise vide order of even date i.e. 13.11.2024 passed in CRM-M-50008-2024.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

November 13, 2024
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No