



CWP-25109-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(119)

CWP-25109-2024

Date of Decision : September 30, 2024

Darshana Devi

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate, for
Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Charan Preet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the claim of the petitioner is that the petitioner is entitled for step up of his pay equivalent to the his junior namely Renu Bala, whose details have been mentioned in paragraph 2 of the petition but the respondents are not granting the said benefit to the petitioner on the ground that the seniority in the Master Cadre is being revised whereas now, the same has already been revised by the respondents hence, the respondents be directed to grant the petitioner the benefit of step up of pay equivalent to Renu Bala.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 04.12.2023 (Annexure P-9) which is still pending

**CWP-25109-2024****2**

consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Charan Preet Singh, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 04.12.2023 (Annexure P-9) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority in view of the revised merit list, within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for her information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

September 30, 2024*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No