

Date of Decision : 30.01.2024

State of HaryanaRespondent

Present : Ms. Gurmeet Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana.
Mr. Ishan Khetarpal, Advocate for the complainant.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Contention of learned counsel for the petitioners is that dispute is of civil nature. Regarding the property in dispute, appeal filed by the petitioners was allowed by the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Kaithal on 01.12.2017. The complainant filed RSA before this Court but no relief was granted. Thereafter, in order to take forcible possession of the land in dispute, it is the complainant party who entered the land in dispute and that in order to avoid the criminal liability, lodged the present FIR alleging that casteist remarks have been used against them.

RAJNEESH SHARMA
2024.01.30 18:19
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Mr. R.S. Khaira, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- State. Copy of the petition be supplied to him during course of the day.

Adjourned to 07.12.2023 for filing status report.

In the meantime, in case of their arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. They shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade them from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. They shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

[2] Today, learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Jai Bhagwan, has informed this Court that the petitioners have joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 09.10.2023 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 09.10.2023, whereby the petitioners were granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, they shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

30.01.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No