



111

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No. 1939 of 2024

Date of Decision: 03.10.2024

Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Arman Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, A.A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Aggrieved by acceptance of two applications under Section 311 CrPC vide which one police official HC Shiv Munni was summoned and another official ASI Azad Singh PW7 was re-summoned, the accused has come up before this Court.

I have heard counsel for the parties and have also gone through the record.

Notice served upon the official respondent through State counsel. The nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling any response from the State.

It is clarified that at the time of examination of witness HC Shiv Munni and re-examination of ASI Azad Singh PW7 ample opportunity be given and in case they need one adjournment for cross-examination, the Court should afford the same.

The present petition is partly allowed. All pending applications, if any also stands disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)

JUDGE

03.10.2024

Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No.