



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-51259-2023

Date of decision: 23.05.2024

Daljit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kartar Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.512 dated 14.09.2023 under Sections 406/420 of the IPC and Section 24 of the Immigration Act registered at Police Station Sector 32-33 Karnal, District Karnal.

2. On 10.10.2023, learned counsel for the petitioner had made the following submissions:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that he has been falsely implicated in the instant case along with the other co-accused, for allegedly duping the complainant to the tune of ₹62.61 lakh on the pretext of sending him and his family to USA. He further contends that a perusal of the FIR leaves no manner of doubt that the gist of the allegations is only against his son Gurlal and the only role attributed to the petitioner in the case in hand is that ₹4 lakh had been given to him by the



complainant in cash. It has further been submitted that it is a matter of record that much prior to the FIR in question, the petitioner had disinherited his son i.e. co-accused Gurlal and he had no relations with him whatsoever.”

3. Thereafter, vide order dated 20.11.2023, the petitioner had been granted the concession of interim bail and was asked to join investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 20.11.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. She, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 20.11.2023, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

23.05.2024

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

Vinay

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No