

**CWP-25024-2024****1**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(116)**CWP-25024-2024****Date of Decision : September 27, 2024****Priyanshu Bhatia****.. Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab and others**.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Bhatia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab, with
Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, Assistant Advocate General,
Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner competed for selection and appointment to the post of ETT Teacher as advertised by the respondents and though the petitioner has secured more marks but he has been ignored and the candidates having lesser marks than the petitioner have been selected and appointed, which is arbitrary and illegal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 25.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this

**CWP-25024-2024****2**

stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Anu Chatrath, learned Addl. Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 25.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

September 27, 2024*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No