



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-54078-2022
Date of decision: 16.12.2024

SIDHARTH RANA

....Petitioner

Versus

ROSHAN LAL

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present : Mr. Mayank Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Nidhi, Advocate for
Mr. Harsh Jain, Advocate for the respondent.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL. J.(Oral)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 Cr.P.C seeking quashing of impugned order dated 14.10.2022, passed by learned Sessions Judge, Palwal (Annexure P-3) to the extent that it directs the petitioner to deposit a sum of Rs.1,60,00/- being 20% of the compensation amount awarded by the learned trial Court, vide judgment dated 14.09.2022, passed by learned JMIC, Hodal in complaint case No.144 of 2017, instituted on 19.08.2017, under Sections 138/142 of Negotiable Instrument Act, titled as 'Roshan Lal. Vs. Sidharth Rana (Annexure P-1).

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to move an appropriate application before the Lower Appellate Court, with the reasons/ground on which he is asking for the waiver of 20% of the compensation amount as stipulated under Section 148 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, who shall adjudicate afresh by

passing in the light of *Jamboo Bhandari versus M.P. State Industrial Development Corporation Ltd. & Ors.*”, decided on 04.09.2023.

- 3. Prayer is accepted.
- 4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.
- 5. However, it is made clear that in case the Lower Appellate Court dismissed the application for waiver of the condition, the petitioner would be at liberty to approach this Court again on that cause of action.

16.12.2024		(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
amandeep		JUDGE
	Whether speaking/reasoned.	: Yes/No
	Whether Reportable.	: Yes/No