



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

391

CRM-M-48550-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 27.11.2024

HARJINDER SINGH AND ANOTHER

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Nikhil Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Harish Bhatti, Advocate for
Ms. Veena Hooda, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR
(Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the
basis of compromise/settlement dated 23.09.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed
between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
14	17.01.2019	406, 420, 506 IPC; (34 and 120-B IPC added later on)	Parao, Ambala Cantt. District Ambala



2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 23.09.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ambala vide report dated 30.10.2024 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited the cost of Rs.5,000/- in compliance to the order dated 16.10.2024.

3. Respondent No.2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ambala and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.14 dated 17.01.2019, under Sections 406, 420, 506 IPC (34 and 120-B IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Parao, Ambala Cantt. District



Ambala (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom,
are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

27.11.2024
S.Sharma(syr)

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |