



112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48566-2024

Date of Decision: 01.10.2024

Amanpreet Singh @ Rinka

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Mohit Sharma, Advocate with
Ms. Priya Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. with a prayer to grant interim bail to the petitioner for a period of two weeks in case FIR No.264 dated 03.06.2018, registered under Sections 302, 120-B, 148, 149, 473, 201 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Civil Lines, District Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the father of the petitioner had passed away on 17.09.2024 and has left behind his old age mother. Consequently, the presence of the petitioner was required for performing the last rites.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Parveen Kumar, submits that the last rites the father of the petitioner have already been performed. Moreover, the petitioner is a hardened criminal and is facing prosecution in 29 criminal cases. Even in the present case, very serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner.

4. In view of the submissions made by learned State counsel, I find no merit in the present case and the same is ordered to be dismissed.

**(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE**

01.10.2024.

hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No