

122 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-48548-2024

Date of Decision: 20.11.2024

Paramjit Kaur Randhawa

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Dinesh Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 to 5 to transfer the investigation of the case in FIR No.241 dated 31.08.2024 under Sections 331(4), 308(2), 351(2), 351(3), 127(2) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Civil Line Batala, Police District Batala to outside the district as in the present case respondent No.6 is Block President of ruling party and local police is under his influence and the petitioner being old aged NRI lady living alone was attacked and confined in her house and earlier even petitioner was falsely implicated in a case at the instance of respondent No.6. Further prayer has been made to constitute a special investigation team to investigate the matter and also to take action on the representation dated 03.09.2024 moved by the petitioner before respondent No.2.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is an NRI, who got registered the present FIR against respondent No.6. He has submitted that despite lodging of the FIR, no investigation is being conducted by the Investigating Agency. He thus submits that the investigation in the present FIR deserves to be transferred.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State. He has drawn the attention of this Court to the status report by way of affidavit of Sanjeev Kumar, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division City Batala, Police District Batala, which has been filed in Court today. On instructions from ASI Samuel Masih, he submits that the investigation is already under progress. He submits that FIR No.60 dated 22.02.2024, under Sections 452, 427 IPC, Police Station Civil Lines, Batala has been registered by respondent No.6 against the petitioner, which is also under investigation. He has further submitted that during the investigation, it has transpired that the dispute between the petitioner and respondent No.6 is regarding one shop, which is alleged to have been illegally occupied by respondent No.6. He submits that the investigation would be completed expeditiously in accordance with law.

5. Heard.

6. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is apparent that the petitioner is a senior citizen, who is NRI and the present FIR has been lodged by the petitioner against respondent No.6. Thus, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent-State to conclude the investigation expeditiously in accordance with law preferably within a period of two months from today. In case any further cause of action arises, the petitioner is at liberty to pursue her remedy, in accordance with law.

20.11.2024
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE