



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-48580-2024

Date of decision: 12.12.2024

Harpreet Singh @ Gaggi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Jasjeet Singh Virk, Advocate for
Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS') in case FIR No.72 dated 11.09.2024 under Sections 331(4)/305 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station Raman, District Bathinda.

2. On 26.09.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner while drawing the attention of this Court to the allegations levelled in the FIR in question submits that it is after six days of the alleged occurrence; the FIR was lodged with respect to the certain accessories which were stolen from the mobile towers located in the area of Bangi Ruldu. Learned counsel has submitted that the petitioner has been named merely on suspicion as even as per the complainant, who



CRM-M-48580-2024

alleged that he had tried to make inquiries and suspected the involvement of some persons, including the petitioner, in the crime in question.

On a pointed query put to the learned counsel as to whether the petitioner is involved in any other case of similar nature, he has categorically replied in the negative.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 26.09.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 26.09.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

12.12.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No