

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

2024:PHHC:001597

CRM-M-53894-2023

Date of decision: January 9th, 2024

Parminder Pal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. G.S. Ghuman, Advocate
with Mr. Atul Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that though earlier also the petitioner had approached this Court for issuance of directions, however, inadvertently, prayer had been made for issuance of directions to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, whereas it was the Additional Director General of Police, NRI and Women Wing, Mohali, who would be the appropriate officer to look into his representation.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if direction is issued to respondent No.2-ADGP, NRI and Women Wing, Mohali, to look into the representation dated 15.09.2023 (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner and take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

4. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.2-

Additional Director General of Police, NRI and Women Wing, Mohali, is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 15.09.2023 (Annexure P-1), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*.

January 9th, 2024
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No