

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

218

**CRM-M-48949-2024
Date of Decision: 19.11.2024**

**KARANJIT SINGH ALIAS
KAKA ALIAS RAM JI**

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Gursimran Singh Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M. S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant a regular bail in case FIR No.33 dated 18.03.2024 registered under Section(s) 307, 323, 324, 427, 506, 148 and 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act (Sections 326, 452, 336, 295 and 201 IPC added subsequently) at Police Station Chattiwind, District Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was initially not named in the FIR. During the course of investigation Shamsher Singh @ Shera and Amritpal Singh @ Gujjar, both the co-accused were arrested by the police and both the accused had named the petitioner as one of the assailants in the present case. Even as per the said statement, the petitioner was allegedly armed with a pistol, however there is no injuries has been suffered with the



fire-arm in the present case. He further contends that co-accused Amritpal Singh @ Gujjar, has been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court. Moreover, another co-accused Manpreet Singh @ Manu has also been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there are four more cases of similar nature has been registered against the present petitioner. Thus, he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, the petitioner was not arrayed as an accused by the complainant and was nominated as accused on the strength of the disclosure statement suffered by Amritpal Singh @ Gujjar. Now, Amritpal Singh @ Gujjar, has already been admitted to bail by this Court. Moreover, the petitioner is in custody since 18.03.2024 and the injured in the present case have already been discharged. Thus, no meaningful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

6. In view of the above, without commenting any further on the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the



satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/CJM concerned.

19.11.2024

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)

M.Sikka

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No