



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.53289 of 2024

Date of decision: 11th November, 2024

Deepak Lal @ Deepu & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr.Nitin Narula, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.0077 dated 09.07.2022 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 452, 379-B, 427, 323, 34 of the IPC registered at Police Station Smalsar, District Moga along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 17.10.2022 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 05.12.2022 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Moga, in pursuance of the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed. However, it has been reported that petitioner No.1 Deepak Lal @ Deepu is involved in six other cases.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has, at the bar, submitted that in 4 of the criminal cases registered against petitioner No.1, FIR stands quashed, whereas in the remaining two criminal cases registered against petitioner No.1, he has since been acquitted.

5. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

6. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

7. In view of the report of the learned Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Moga and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**' (2012) 10 SCC 303, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all

consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioners, are quashed.

8. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

November 11, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No