



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

270

CRM-M-48629-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 18.12.2024

AAMIR KHAN

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Ms. Savita Sisodia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Sr. DAG Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C. the petitioner has sought quashing of impugned order dated 06.05.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur, whereby the bail of the petitioner was cancelled and non-bailable warrants of arrest were issued against the petitioner in case FIR No.108 dated 07.09.2019 registered under Sections 306 and 34 IPC at Police Station City II, Malerkotla District Sangrur.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in compliance to the order dated 30.09.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has appeared in the Court and furnished his requisite bail bonds. He has placed on record copy of the order dated 05.10.2024, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Malerkotla, whereby the petitioner has been



admitted on interim bail by the learned trial Court.

3. Learned State counsel has not disputed the aforesaid factum.
4. Heard.
5. During the course of proceedings on 30.09.2024, the following order was passed:-

“2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner, after being nominated in case FIR (Annexure P-2), was granted concession of bail vide Annexure P-3 and thereafter he had joined the investigation. She contends that during the course of trial on 06.05.2024, the petitioner could not appear before the learned trial Court leading to cancellation of his bail and issuance of non-bailable warrants of arrest. She further contends that the absence of petitioner was not intentional but on account of having been wrongly noted the date and if granted concession of bail, the petitioner will regularly appear on each and every date of hearing in future.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG, Punjab, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent.

5. Adjourned to 21.10.2024.

6. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to to appear before the concerned learned Trial Court/Judge on Duty within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned learned Trial Court/Judge on duty.

7. The petitioner is also burdened with cost of Rs.5,000/- to be deposited with the District Legal Services Authority, Sangrur, as a condition precedent for his admission to interim bail.

8. The petitioner is further directed to furnish specific undertaking before the concerned Court that he will regularly appear on each and every date of hearing and in case of any exigency, he will seek exemption from the Court in accordance with law.”

6. Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has already appeared



in the Court and furnished his requisite bail/surety bonds consequent to the order dated 30.09.2024 passed by this Court, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 06.05.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur is set aside and the interim bail granted vide order dated 30.09.2024 is hereby confirmed.

- 7. The petition stands allowed.
- 8. Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

18.12.2024
S.Sharma(syr)

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |