

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CWP-31110- 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision :26.02.2024

Dalbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Gurbhagat Singh Gill, Advocate for
Mr. Shivroop Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner was appointed through private outsourcing agency i.e. respondents No.4 and 5 and claim of the petitioner is that he should be allowed to continue as Ward Servant till the regular appointments to the said post are made.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that as per the settled principle of law, no writ petition is maintainable qua the private entity and as in the present petition, claim is being raised against the respondents No.4 and 5, which are private outsourcing agency, present petition is not maintainable.
3. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to avail an appropriate remedy for the redressal of his grievance.
4. Ordered accordingly.

February 26, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No