

2024:PHHC:130790



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-48432 of 2024
Date of Decision: 01.10.2024

Navjot Kaur @ Navi		...Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Punjab		... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Aman Redhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of the BNSS with a prayer to grant a regular bail in case FIR No.199 dated 28.07.2024 registered under Sections 108 and 61(2) of BNS at Police Station Sahnewal, District Ludhiana.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the allegations levelled by the complainant, the petitioner and her co-accused Vijay Kumar @ Harry and Shivam Kumar @ Shimbu used to threaten Vaneet Singh, since deceased, on Instagram. On account of threats extended to Vaneet Singh, he had committed suicide. Learned

counsel further contends that even from the allegations levelled in the FIR, no offence under Section 108 of BNS is made out against the petitioner. As per the learned counsel, Vijay Kumar @ Harry and Vaneet Singh, since deceased, were cousins and both had developed liking for a girl, namely, Navjot Kaur @ Navi, petitioner. Ultimately, Navjot Kaur @ Navi married to Vijay Kumar @ Harry on 22.04.2024 and due to this Vaneet Singh, since deceased, was disheartened and depressed. He further contends that in fact the deceased depressed and had committed suicide without any act of abetment on the part of the present petitioner. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 29.08.2024 and is in custody since then. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also placed reliance on the order (Annexure P-3) passed by this Court, whereby, the co-accused, namely, Shuvam @ Shivim Kumar @ Shimbu has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioner and the petitioner is not entitled for the concession of regular bail. However, he admits that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, co-accused Shuvam @ Shivim Kumar @ Shimbu has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order annexure P-3 passed in CRM M-46705 of 2024. The case of the petitioner is on better footings and her further custody will not serve any meaningful purpose.

6. In view of the above, without commenting any further on the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/CJM concerned.

01.10.2024
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No