



CRM-M-48241-2024

1

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-48241-2024
Date of Decision : 24.10.2024**

LOVISH

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Ashish Grewal, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 25.09.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter
extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Though the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 763 dated 08.08.2024, under Sections 191(3), 190, 115, 324(4), 109 of BNS ,and under Section 25-54-59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Yamuna Nagar City, District Yamuna Nagar, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia places reliance upon the order passed by this Court on 09.09.2024 in CRM-M-44242-2024 titled “Sunil Verma Vs. State of Haryana” and submits that he is at coequal pedestal with the accused in the said case. He further submits that, though, his name has been mentioned in the FIR, but no role whatsoever, has been attributed to him. He further submits that he ready and willing to join investigation.

Notice of motion for 24.10.2024.

To be heard along with CRM-M-44242-2024.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”



CRM-M-48241-2024

2

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by ASI Balbir Singh, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 25.09.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

October 24, 2024

dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No