

207 (1st case)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-51243-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision : 22.04.2024**

Mandeep Singh @ Jagjeet Singh @ Jaggi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. P.K.S.Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana for the respondent.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.499 dated 12.08.2023, under Sections 323, 341, 379B read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Mandi Dabwali, District Sirsa.

(2) Above FIR was registered on the basis of statement made by complainant-Satpal Singh with the allegations that petitioner, along with other co-accused, caused injuries to him with *Dandas* and snatched his Scooter.

(3) Contends that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court, vide order dated 25.01.2024 and in pursuance thereof, he has already joined the investigation; thus, his custodial interrogation is not required.

(4) Learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Dharampal, acknowledged the above factual position and submits that as on today, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

(5) Heard learned Counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

(6) It is not in dispute that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court on 25.01.2024 and the order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that the matter stands compromised between the parties, i.e. petitioner as well as complainant, at their own level.

Learned State counsel seeks some time to verify the above factual position.

Posted for 27.02.2024.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

(7) It is acknowledged by learned State Counsel on instructions from ASI Dharampal that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner joined the investigation and as on today, his custodial interrogation is not required.

- (8) In view of the above, present petition is allowed; interim order dated 25.01.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
- (9) It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.
- (10) The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the present bail matter.
- (11) It is clarified that in case there is recurrence on the part of the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.
- (12) Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

22nd April, 2024
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No