

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-48259-2024
Date of decision: 11.11.2024

JAGSEER SINGH AND ANR ...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB ...RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.
Ms. Riya Mittal, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of BNS,
petitioners seek anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
194	19.08.2024	331 (6), 115 (2), 351 (1), 351 (3) and 3 (5) of BNS.	Bhawanigarh, District Sangrur.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance to the order dated 21.10.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioners have already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 21.10.2024.
3. Learned State counsel as per *pairvi* report, on instructions from ASI Chamkor Singh, intimates the Court that the petitioners have joined investigation and are neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.
4. Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the



submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. During the course of hearing on 21.10.2024, following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioners inter alia contends that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. He contends that even the injuries as mentioned in the FIR are simple in nature caused with some blunt weapon and no specific injuries is attributed to the petitioners. He further contends that even otherwise, the petitioners are not having any other criminal case registered against them and are ready to join the investigation.

2. *Learned State counsel while referring to the reply submitted by the State has admitted to the fact that the injuries attributed are simple in nature with a blunt weapon.*

3. *Ms. Riya Mittal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and has filed her vakalatnama. The same is taken on record. She has categorically stated that the matter has since been compromised and the complainant has no objection if bail is granted to the petitioners.*

4. *Keeping in view the circumstances and without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation within seven days from today and in the event of their arrest, they are ordered to be released on interim bail on their furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. They shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.*

5. *List on 11.11.2024.”*

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioners had joined the investigation

consequent to the order dated 21.10.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 21.10.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further, the petitioners are directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioners; they will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

7. The petition stands allowed.
8. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)

JUDGE

11.11.2024

kanika

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No
- ii) Whether reportable?

Yes/No