



120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-9366-2024**

**DATE OF DECISION: 25.09.2024**

**KOMAL AND ANR**

**...PETITIONERS**

**Versus**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS      ... RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Baljeet Nain, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. B.S.Virk, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks protection of life and liberty of the petitioners by contending that both of them having attained the age of majority and are in live-in relationship against the wishes of family members i.e. respondent Nos.4 to 6 and seek appropriate protection from the authorities. They submitted a representation dated 23.09.2024 (Annexure P-3), in this regard to the Superintendent of Police, Jind, but are still apprehensive about their security in view of the apparent inaction and alleged clout of their family members-respondents No. 4 to 6.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of the Court, learned State Counsel accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents. A copy of the paper-book be handed over to him during the course of the day.



CRWP-9366-2024

-2-

4. Both of them do appear to have crossed the age of majority as seen from the copies of documents filed.
5. For the aforesaid reasons, this appears to be a fit case for this Court to invoke the inherent powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. and in view of the mandate contained in Article 21 of the Constitution of India to protect the citizen's right to life and liberty.
6. Thus, the Superintendent of Police, Jind is directed to consider the representation dated 23.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate steps to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners.
7. It is nevertheless clarified that this order is issued only on the premise that the petitioners have crossed the age of majority as seen from the documents placed on record being their Aadhar Cards as Annexures P-1 and P-2. Further, they would not be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).
8. The petition is disposed of with the above directions.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)  
JUDGE

25.09.2024  
anuradha

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |