



121

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-9367-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 25.09.2024**

FAKIR CHAND

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Amardeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. B.S.Virk, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by providing adequate protection to the petitioner and also directing the private respondents not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioner.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2-the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar to decide the representation dated 20.09.2024 (Annexure P-2) within a time bound manner.

3. Without advertng to the merits of the case, the respondent No.2-the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 20.09.2024 (Annexure P-2) by passing a



CRWP-9367-2024

-2-

speaking order in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

- 4. A copy of order so passed be supplied to the petitioner within one week thereafter.
- 5. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

25.09.2024
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No