



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

117

CWP No.25049 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.09.2024

Adarsh Jit Pal and others

....Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. P.K. Goklaney, Advocate
with Mr. Ashish Goklaney, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to pay the petitioners additional quantum of pension from the day when they entered into 65th year of age in view of the Finance Circular No.18/2009 dated 17.08.2009 (Annexure P-1). Further prayer has been made that any interpretation of the circular dated 17.08.2009 (Annexure P-1), declining the claim of the petitioner be quashed.

After arguing the case for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh one, on the same cause of action, with better particulars by giving complete service particulars of the petitioners and by impleading

2024:PHHC:120658



the concerned Municipalities from where the petitioners have retired, as party respondent(s).

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

27.09.2024
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No